

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/852,804	05/11/2001	Takao Kasai	0445-0299P	5485	
2292	7590 05/04/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH ST	EWART KOLASCH	& BIRCH	STEPHENS, JA	CQUELINE F	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3761		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/852,804	KASAI ET AL.				
, and y , to her	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jacqueline F Stephens	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated application application abandonery which are applicated as a construction and application applications.	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriation is the final the	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		•				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	is.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly			
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	• •					
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					

JOHNSY CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

10. Other: _

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejection of claims 1-3 as being unpatentable over Paul USPN 6217890 in view of Hamajima USPN 5865822.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 3/18/04 have been fully considered and are partially persuasive. Applicant's arguments are persuasive relative to the 103 rejection of claims 1-3 as being unpatentable over Paul in view of Hamajima. With regard to claims 5-9, 12, and 13 as previously indicated, applicant has shown in the declaration that the concentration of zinc sulfate used with a super absorbent polymer has an inverse relationship to the water absorption capacity. However, the language in independent claims 5, 12, and 13 requires the agent 'not causing a substantial reduction in water absorbing performance per unit weight of the superabsorbent polymer'. It stands to reason that the greater the amount of a non-water absorbing agent added to a product, the less water absorbent capacity the product will have. This does not nevertheless indicate the water-absorbing component is actually being decomposed, rather that it is hindered in its capability to absorb water. Paul teaches an absorbent article comprising an agent having a skin-care effect and the absorbent article has a superabsorbent polymer with the capability of performing its intended use, to absorb water/urine. While the skin care agent , if added in exorbitant amounts, may affect the water absorption capacity of the superabsorbent polymer, it has not been proven that the agent actually decomposes the superabsorbent polymer. Additionally, since the article is capable of absorbing water/urine (an unspecified amount), it is understood the concentration of the agent used is appropriate for the intended use of the article.